

## REMARKS

This Application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action mailed February 22, 2010. At the time of the Office Action, Claims 46-67 were pending in this Application. Claims 46-67 were rejected and objected to. Claims 46-61, 63, and 65-67 are herein amended. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and favorable action in this case.

### Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §101

Claims 46-67 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 because the claimed invention is allegedly directed to non-statutory subject matter. Although Applicants disagree with this rejection, Applicants have amended independent Claims 46 and 67 to provide even more structure. For example, amended Claim 46 recites “A telecommunication terminal *including hardware and software* for processing encrypted data objects”, “a user interface including at least one of a *speaker and a display* for outputting information to a user”, and “wherein the communication entity, the management entity, and the user interface each include *software stored in tangible computer-readable media in the telecommunication terminal* and executable to perform the recited functionality of each respective component.” Amended Claim 67 recites similar limitations.

Applicants submit that each of these amendments is explicitly or inherently (as would be understood by one of ordinary skill in the art) disclosed in the specification, and thus no new matter is added. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §101 be withdrawn.

### Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 46-67 were rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for various reasons. Applicants have amended independent Claims 46 and 67 to clarify such claims and to overcome each of the Examiner's specific rejections. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph be withdrawn.

**Allowable Subject Matter**

Applicants appreciate Examiner's consideration and indication that Claims 46-67 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the stated objections and rejections. Applicants believe that the amendments to independent Claims 46 and 67 do overcome the stated objections and rejections. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request allowance of all pending Claims 46-67.

**CONCLUSION**

Applicants have made an earnest effort to place this case in condition for allowance in light of the remarks set forth above. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the pending claims.

Applicants believe there are no fees due at this time. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees necessary or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-4871 of King & Spalding L.L.P.

If there are any matters concerning this Application that may be cleared up in a telephone conversation, please contact Applicants' attorney at 512-457-2030.

Respectfully submitted,  
KING & SPALDING LLP  
Attorney for Applicants



Eric M Grabski  
Registration No. 51,749

Date: 3/25/10

**SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:**  
KING & SPALDING L.L.P.  
CUSTOMER ACCOUNT NO. **86528**  
512-457-2030  
512-457-2100 (fax)